▲ AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

	United	STATES DISTRICT		
		District of	NEBRASKA	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		2009 JAN -7 PM 4: 25	
	v.	ORDER O	F DETENTION PENDING TRIAL 4:00M13003 OFFICE OF THE CLERI	
	CIRILO CAMPOS-GARCIA	Case Number:	4:09MJ3002 OFFICE OF THE CEEM	
In a	Defendant ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C	. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been	n held. I conclude that the following facts require the	
detentio	n of the defendant pending trial in this case.	Double Elegion of Food		
	The defendant is charged with an offence dec	Part I—Findings of Fact	s been convicted of a federal offense state	
☐ (1)	or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is			
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S. an offense for which the maximum sente			
	an offense for which the maximum sente an offense for which a maximum term of		rescribed in	
	[] a felony that was committed after the def	endant had been convicted of two or mo	ore prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.	
	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	or local offenses.		
			use pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.	
□ (3)	for the offense described in finding (1).	sed since the date of conviction	release of the defendant from imprisonment	
(4)	(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the			
	safety of (an) other person(s) and the commu	·	is not rebutted this presumption.	
	There is probable cause to believe that the de	Alternative Findings (A) fendant has committed an offense		
L (.,	for which a maximum term of imprisonm		·	
– (2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		de la la desarra de la dela companya de la companya	
☐ (2)	the appearance of the defendant as required a		tion or combination of conditions will reasonably assure	
		Alternative Findings (B)		
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will			
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will	endanger the safety of another person o	r the community.	
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention				
	of the evidence that	submitted at the hearing establishes by	clear and convineing evidence a prepon-	
	ICE Netoiner			
	Dof waived	hus + agreed	to destantian	
	1767 128(024	nvg = agreed	ve me en tre	
	Dari	III—Directions Regarding Deten	tion.	
The			tion tative for confinement in a corrections facility separate,	
			y pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a	
Governi	nent, the person in charge of the corrections far	eility shall defined the defendant to the U	the United States or on request of an attorney for the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance	
	in connection with a court proceeding.			
1-1-09 Mark - Heeter				
	Date	•	re of Judicial Officer	
		David L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Indicial Officer		

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (e) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).